

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

23446 7590 091420088 EXAMINER MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661 EXAMINER BATES, KEVIN T ART UNIT PAPER NU 2153	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLÓY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661 ART UNIT PAPER NU 2153	10/672,601	09/26/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	15033US02	1971
500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661 BATES, KEVIN T ART UNIT PAPER NU 2153			EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHICAGO, IL 60661 ART UNIT PAPER NU. 2153	500 WEST MA		BATES,	BATES, KEVIN T	
		60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY				2153	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY					
05/14/2008 PAPE					DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/672,601	KARAOGUZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KEVIN BATES	2153	

	Examine	AILOIIL						
	KEVIN BATES	2153						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP								
The REFL FILED 21.AUII (2006) FAULE TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AUIL DOWNAGE. When pays was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1							
Leterisons of the rilley of Ucusines under 3 of XRT 1.000g. The date of thing the period mode of XRT 1.000g strill use appropriate extension feel under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	causa					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the control of the contr		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 16-49.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered but The applicant argues that the combination of Hino and N disagrees, Hino teaches the steps of establishing the coreference Narasimhan teaches that remotely monitoring.	larasimhan does not disclose the communication, authenticating and m	aimed limitations. The	e examiner formation. The					
be an obvious combination to use Narasimhan's automa 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (ting to Hino's system to perform the							
13. Other:								
o Outer								
	/Kevin Bates/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2153						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office